

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 10/801,313 | 03/16/2004 | Thomas O. Kautz | 081445-0361 | 1983 |
| | 7 | 590 09/19/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| | Chad E. Beme | ent | | WALLING, I | MEAGAN S |
| Foley & Lardner LLP | | | | | |
| | 777 East Wisco | onsin Avenue | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Milwaukee, WI 53202-5306 | | | 2863 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Antine Succession | 10/801,313 | KAUTZ ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Meagan S. Walling | 2863 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2005. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| <u>'</u> | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 2-4,6-10,13-16,18-24,27-35 and 38-44 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-10,13-16,18-24,27-35 and 38-44</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) 2-4, 13-16, 18-24, 27-35, and 38-44 is | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4, 13-16, 18-24, 27-35, and 38-44</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | | | | | |
| I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper Ind(5)/Ividii Date | -, <u>-</u> | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hashimoto et al. (US 6,695,471).

Regarding claim 10, Hashimoto et al. teaches mounting a first temperature sensor (32a) to a structure in a room at a first distance relative to the structure (34a); mounting a second temperature sensor (32b) to the structure at a second distance relative to the structure (34b); measuring a first temperature with the first temperature sensor (column 5, lines 22-27); measuring a second temperature with the second temperature sensor (column 5, lines 22-27); and estimating a third temperature from the first and second temperatures wherein the third temperature is an estimate of a temperature at a third distance from the structure, the third distance being greater than the first and second distances (column 3, lines 55-57).

Regarding claim 6, Hashimoto et al. teaches coupling a processor to the first and second temperature sensors, and wherein the third temperature is calculated by the processor (Ref. 58).

Application/Control Number: 10/801,313 Page 3

Art Unit: 2863

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of claim 7 is the inclusion of the limitation that the first and second temperature sensors are mounted in a housing. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art that makes these claims allowable.

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of claim 9 is the inclusion of the limitation that the second distance is greater than the first. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art that makes these claims allowable.

3. Claims 2-4, 13-16, 18-24, 27-35, and 38-44 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Please see previous office action for reasons for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/801,313

Art Unit: 2863

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S. Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

BRYAN BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Molani

Page 4